

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/626,128	07/21/2003	Fenglian Chang	DP-308948	9072	
22851 75	10/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			BELL, BRUCE F		
M/C 480-410-2 PO BOX 5052	02		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
TROY, MI 48	3007	,	. 1746		
	,		DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006	DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/626,128	CHANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bruce F. Bell	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14-18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	vn from consideration.  relection requirement.  r.  ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	: 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants representative was contacted on August 16, 2006, in an attempt to pass this application to allowance. The prior art cited below was given to the representative at that time for him to contact applicants for the purpose of either explaining why the instant claims read over the prior art, amending the claims or canceling the claims to pass this application to issue. To date, the examiner has not received a return phone call, which has prompted the following office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 14-18, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al (6153072).

Inoue et al discloses a platinum-gold alloy electrode used in a sensor. See abstract. The platinum-gold alloy electrode comprises a bulk gold concentration of about 1 wt. % or less based upon the total weight of the PT-Au alloy in the bulk of the electrode, and an exposed surface gold concentration of about 5 wt. % or more, based upon the total weight of the Pt-Au alloy at the surface of the electrode, wherein the surface gold diffuses into the bulk electrode layer. See col. 10, lines 3-7 and col. 12, lines 46-47.

Art Unit: 1746

The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made because even though the Inoue patent does not specifically state that the surface gold extends about 50 to 400 nm into the main electrode layer, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill, that the surface layer would extend into the main layer at least about 50 nm as the Inoue patent teaches that the surface layer does diffuse into the main layer. The Inoue patent also teaches the used of heaters. See figure 2.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-13 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to teach and/or suggest a method of forming an electrode by combining a platinum and gold precursors and forming an electrode ink that is fired to form the electrode and then treating the electrode in an atmosphere having 500 ppm oxygen or less for a time sufficient to produce a surface gold concentration of greater than or equal to 6 times a bulk gold concentration in the electrode.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce F. Bell whose telephone number is 571-272-1296. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,128 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BFB October 4, 2006 Bruce F. Bell Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746